

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,773	09/14/2000	Simon F. Williams	ТЕРН 102	1585
75	90 09/18/2002			
PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP SUITE 2000 ONE ATLANTIC CENTER			EXAMINER	
			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3400		. .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/661,773

Applicance)

Williams et al

Examiner

Carlos Azpuru

Art Unit **1615**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period 1	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	
•	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 26, 20	
2a) 🗶	This action is FINAL . 2b) \sqcup This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗌	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	tion of Claims	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 29-32</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗔	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-17 and 29-32	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
14) 🗌	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) ∟ 15) □	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm		priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [] Infe	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/661,773

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 07/26/02.

The rejections under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph, and 35 USC 101 are hereby withdrawn. The rejections of claims 1-17 and 29-32 under 35 USC 102(b) over Eggink et al, and Marchessault et al, and 35 USC 102(a) over Martin et al, as well as Williams et al are hereby withdrawn.

The rejection under 35 USC 102(e) over Sankaram is maintained in this action:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/26/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) over Sankaram, applicant argues that the composition of Sankaram does not teach that the material is administered to cells or tissue, but rather undergoes conversion from prodrug to physiologically active substance upon interactions with the intracellular medium, cells or tissue"... This clearly indicates that the prodrug is delivered to these cells or tissues, and falls within the scope of the term "administered" as used by applicant. Applicant is therefore requested to explain how this chemical change in the cells or tissues occurs, if it is not via drug delivery to those very sites.

Further, applicant is reminded that the composition claims do not depend upon their intended use for patentability. In this case, the use of the composition for the repair or augmentation of tissue is just that, and does not differentiate the claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/661,773

Art Unit: 1615

composition form that set out by Sankaram. As such, the instant claims are anticipated by Sankaram, and the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is maintained in this action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is 703/308-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

0091 40049

CARLOS AZAMINER

ca

September 11, 2002